

Executive Director Andrew Berman

President Elizabeth Ely

Vice-Presidents Mary Arm Amman Ambur Levin

Secretary/Treasurer Katherine Schoonover

Trustees

Mary Ann Anuman Penelope Baseau Marodah Bergmann Litzabeth Elv Ju Hamaton lan Hashey Arthur Levin Robin J. H. Maxwell Burtt McCoy Peter Mullage Andrew S. Taul. Joostfun Russo Kutherine Schoonswer Salish Stonehill Artis Thalacker George Velturakii Vicks Weiner Fred Wistow Linds Yowell 1. Archiver Zumini III

Advisors

F. Asshoror Zunino III. Chair Kem Barwick Joan K. Dividinn Christopher Forber Margairet Halsey Grediner Strong Gayle Blaziwih Gilmore Carul Greitzer Jury Him. Atletin Hutner Begins M. Kellreman Florent Montlet Issas Omenzio mes Stawart Polshek. Eliste Rimes Henry Hope Seed Alice B. Sandler Caron Trillin ren-Claude van hallie Anne Marie Wierner-Summer Anthony C. Wood.

April 4, 2005

Felicia Miller, Deputy General Counsel New York City Department of Buildings 280 Broadway, 7th floor New York, NY 10007

Re: PROPOSED RULE 51-01, RELATING TO CLASSIFICATION OF STUDENT DOMITORIES

Dear Deputy Counsel Miller,

The Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation is the largest membership organization in Greenwich Village and the East Village, dedicating to preserving and protecting the special built character of these neighborhoods. We are deeply concerned about the attempt by developers to build structures under regulations for Use Group 3 when they are in fact Use Group 2, namely dormitories which are actually residences. This problem is especially pemicious because in much of our neighborhood, the additional allowable bulk for dormitories is quite substantial, sometimes as much as 98%.

Recent cases such as 81 East 3rd Street illustrate this problem. Here a developer has built a 13-story building utilizing the Use Group 3 classification when in fact there is no actual dormitory use attached to the building, and none appears to be in sight. Such a building should never have risen to more than six or seven stories, and now, lacking an appropriate use for the building as required by zoning, the City must either force the developer to dismantle the additional floors, or allow the structure to remain in severe non-compliance. We strongly urge that they do the former, and force the removal of the additional floors.

Allowed to continue, this practice of building structures utilizing community facility bulk regulations without actual community facilities to house could easily quite quickly and dramatically overwhelm the scale of our neighborhoods, where several proposals for "dorms for rent" have already been floated and discussed. It is essential that the Department of Buildings addresses and corrects this problem, lest the intent of the zoning text be entirely undermined and the character of our neighborhoods destroyed.

The recent phenomenon of entities other than schools and universities being allowed to build dormitories that qualify for community facility classification seems to be at the root of this problem. It is unclear to us why any entity other than the actual accredited institution should be allowed to build and own dorms and receive the community facility classification. Several experts in the field we have spoken to do not see the clear necessity for it in regulations as currently written.

2005 - Our 25th Anniversary Year