

Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation

252 East 11th Street New York, New York 10005

(212) 475-9585 fax: (212) 475-9582 www.gvshp.org

Executive Director
Andrew Berman

President of the Board
Arbie Thalacker

Vice-Presidents Arthur Levin Linda Yowell

Secretary / Treasurer
Katherine Schoonover

Trustees

Mary Ann Arisman John Bacon Penelope Bareau Elizabeth Elv Cassie Glover Thomas Harney Leslie S. Mason Ruth McCoy Florent Morellet Peter Mullan Vals Osborne Andrew S. Paul Cynthia Penney Robert Rogers Jonathan Russo Judith Stonehill Fred Wistow F. Anthony Zunino III

Advisors

Kent Barwick Lucy Cecere Joan K. Davidson Christopher Forbes Margaret Halsey Gardiner Elizabeth Gilmore Carol Greitzer Tony Hiss Martin Hutner James Stewart Polshek Elinor Ratner Henry Hope Reed Anne-Marie Sumner Calvin Trillin Jean-Claude van Itallie George Vellonakis Vicki Weiner Anthony C. Wood

June 28, 2011
Robert Dobruskin
Environmental Assessment and Review
Department of City Planning
22 Reade Street, Fourth Floor
New York, NY 10007

Re: Scope of Draft Environmental Impact Statement for St. Vincent's Campus Redevelopment Project ULURP, CEQR No. 10DCP003M

Dear Mr. Dobruskin:

I urge that revisions be made to the scope of work for the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the St. Vincent's Campus Redevelopment project. There are two keys areas in which I believe the scope of the review must be expanded:

- Proposed Zoning Text Amendment regarding height factor and open space ratio requirements for Large Scale General **Development Plans in Community Board #2:** The applicant proposes to extend provisions of the zoning text currently only applicable in Community Board #7, Manhattan, to Community Board #2. These provisions would make large scale development at higher densities easier in Community Board #2. The applicant says that "the text amendment is not expected to be utilized by sites other than the project site," and thus limits the scope of its analysis of potential impact to this project. However, it is not at all clear that the provisions could not in fact be utilized in the future elsewhere in Community Board #2. There are several institutions in Community Board #2, including NYU and the New School, which might easily want to try to utilize these provisions in the future. Additionally, there are several entities which own multiple properties in Community Board #2, such as Trinity Real Estate, which might chose to try to access these provisions in the future. Thus any analysis of the potential impact of the proposed zoning text amendment should look at other potential scenarios in which these provisions could be used, and should in general analyze the impact of extending such provisions to Community Board #2, rather than simply analyzing the impact they would have in this one case.
- Study of Alternatives: The proposed rezoning would significantly increase the allowable density of residential development on the East Campus as compared to what the current zoning allows, and

what zoning in the area typically allows. When these sites were rezoned in 1979 to allow a greater density of development, it was for the construction of new facilities for St. Vincent's Hospital, a community facility which served a public purpose. The applicant is seeking to capture some, but not all, of that additional bulk for a market-rate residential development which serves no similar public purpose. Thus we believe that an alternative which should be studied is a rezoning which would allow the retention and re-use of Smith, Raskob, Nurse's Residence, Reiss, and Spellman buildings, while for any other site where demolition and new construction is contemplated, the zoning would only allow a density of residential development which is consistent with the overall density for residential use currently allowed on the East Campus.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Andrew Berman Executive Director